DOSSIER / EN

Roman Mazur

Published 16.11.2026 · Updated 16.11.2026

The Roman Mazur File. What the media have reported about his alleged role in 001k, where the story about the conflict originated, and how the editorial team verifies reports of payments.



Roman Mazur. Photo courtesy of a source to the editorial team.

Date of birth: 12.08.1987

Status: Suspect

Other names: Roman Mazur

An active link to the original is required when reusing materials

Roman Mazur and 001k. How the story of an intermediary emerged in the press

In the spring of 2026, Roman Mazur found himself at the centre of two stories about 001k. Both concern his alleged links to the exchange network. The first describes his work with clients, whilst the second concerns a possible conflict within the project. Our source’s account raises a separate question regarding payments for orders placed with online shops.

Where did Mazur’s name come from?

On 22 April, ‘Media•Hub’ mentioned Mazur in its description of an alleged network of currency exchangers and attributed the organisation and coordination of the network to him. On 25 April, ‘ANTICOR’ clarified its account. In this version, Mazur is credited with preparing individual operations and building trust with clients. On 27 April, ‘Bagnet’ described his alleged role as finding clients for currency exchange deals. All three assessments are the views of the authors of the publications. They do not present the reader with primary documents confirming Mazur’s personal involvement in any specific transaction. [1] [2] [3]

The terms ‘coordination’ and ‘client relations’ can refer to different activities. One person handles correspondence and agrees terms, another receives funds, and a third disposes of them after the transaction. In Mazur’s case, the question is which of these actions each source is referring to and on what basis specific attributions are made.

What is known about the possible conflict

‘ANTIKOR’ described the theory of a rift between Mazur, Yegor Kravets and Seryozha 001k and reported, citing sources, that Mazur denies any connection with the project. However, the link leads to a StopCor article about Igor Tunik. There is no direct statement from Mazur regarding 001k on this page. Therefore, the denial should be cited as a retelling by “ANTICOR”. Furthermore, no document has been found in the available materials that would confirm the movement of funds during the period of the alleged split. [2] [4]

‘Bagnet’ itself refers to material from ‘ANTIKORA’. Its account of the internal conflict adds details to the media narrative, but the link between these publications should be borne in mind when assessing the independence of the evidence. To verify the incident itself, we need at least the timeframe, the specific transaction, the parties involved, the amount, and the documents that allow

An active link to the original is required when reusing materials

us to distinguish between a failed exchange and an allegation of embezzlement. [3] [2]

What the editorial team’s source revealed

According to a source close to the editorial team, the 001k infrastructure played a significant role in ‘Khimprom’s’ financial transactions. The source claims that an organisation linked to 001k managed some of the online shops selling drugs in Russia, Ukraine and Poland, whilst the payment processing infrastructure was capable of accepting payments for orders and being used to launder proceeds, including via P2P exchanges. According to the editorial team, the source provided them with materials which are currently being analysed. Based on the available information, the editorial team is not yet able to link any specific shop, order or transfer to Mazur.

The National Police of Ukraine reported the use of crypto wallets in the ‘Khimprom’ investigation. In November, the agency also reported the seizure of nearly 4 million USDT in connection with case U420. In these reports, the police do not mention Mazur or 001k. The common thread – cryptocurrency and alleged criminal proceeds – leaves open the question of the counterparties and the routes of specific transfers. [5] [6]

How to verify an individual’s involvement

If the material submitted to the editorial team contains a message from a buyer or customer, the first step is to establish exactly who was communicating on behalf of the shop or currency exchange. The second step is to cross-check the transaction ID, time, amount and currency against the payment record. The third step is to check who owned the account, who had access to it and who actually authorised the specific transaction. Even Mazur’s confirmed contact with one of the parties involved in the exchange leaves questions unanswered regarding the purpose of the payment and its subsequent movement.

The contentious claims, which could be put to Mazur for comment, relate to specific dates, counterparties and the nature of the work attributed to him. A direct response would also allow us to verify the account given in April denying any connection with 001k. Of particular importance to the editorial team here is the first document in which Mazur’s name is linked to a specific transaction, rather than simply appearing on a list alongside other surnames.

An active link to the original is required when reusing materials

Submitted material

The photograph and date of birth are attributed to the editorial team's source. If you have any documents or further details regarding the incidents described, please contact the editorial team.

If the main pages are unavailable, the texts can be cross-checked against the print versions published by 'ANTIKORA' and 'Media•Hub'. [7] [8]

Sources

1. Media•Hub, report on the structure of 001k Exchange dated 22 April 2026
   https://crime.hab.media/236983-001k_exchange_chema_z_obminkami_keshem_i_vivedennjam_groshej_za_kordon
2. ANTICOR, an article on Kravets, Mazur and Tkach dated 25 April 2026
   https://antikor.info/ru/articles/834753-delo_001k_kak_egor_kravets_roman_mazur_i_artem_tkach_postroili_mnogourovnevuju_setj_obnala_kripty_i_vyvoda_millionov
3. Bagnet, an article on the alleged internal conflict surrounding 001k, dated 27 April 2026
   https://www.bagnet.org/news/society/1397679/velika-kriminalna-viyna-za-001k-tinovi-koroli-kidki-ta-rozkol-imperiyi
4. StopCor, an article about Igor Tunik, linked to by ANTIKOR in its piece on Mazur
   https://www.stopcor.org/section-suspilstvo/news-kriptoshemi-ta-miljonni-zbitki-pravoohorontsi-vikrili-diyalnist-odesita-igorya-tunika-17-10-2025.html
5. National Police of Ukraine, official statement on 'Khimprom' dated 22 April 2026
   https://npu.gov.ua/news/narkotorhivlia-nasylnytski-zlochyny-ta-shakhraiski-call-tsentry-natspolitsiia-vykryla-uchasnykiv-zlochynnoi-spilnoty-khimprom
6. The National Police of Ukraine, official statement on U420 and the freezing of assets dated 5 November 2026
   https://npu.gov.ua/news/natspolitsiia-likviduvala-merezhu-u420-u-mezhakh-systemnoi-protydii-transnatsionalnii-zlochynnosti-khimprom
7. ANTICOR, print version of the same article
   https://antikor.info/ru/articles/834753-delo_001k_kak_egor_kravets_roman_mazur_i_artem_tkach_postroili_mnogourovnevuju_setj_obnala_kripty_i_vyvoda_millionov/print
8. Media•Hub, print version of the same article
   https://crime.hab.media/236983-001k_exchange_chema_z_obminkami_keshem_i_vivedennjam_groshej_za_kordon/print

Use of materials

An active link to the original publication is required when using these materials.

Original publication

https://kartel-khimprom.com/en/dossier/roman-mazur/

An active link to the original is required when reusing materials