DOSSIER / EN

Yegor Vladimirovich Kravets

Published 16.11.2026 · Updated 16.11.2026

Egor Kravets' profile. Publications on his operational role at 001k, a statement regarding a change of ownership of the exchange platform, and questions regarding control over assets.



Yegor Kravets. Photo courtesy of the editorial source.

Date of birth: 15.07.1999

Status: Suspect

Other names: Yehor Volodymyrovych Kravets; Yehor Kravets

An active link to the original is required when reusing materials

Yegor Kravets and 001k. Who had the authority to dispose of the funds?

Spring publications attribute a technical and financial role to Yegor Kravets at 001k. To verify this account, it is necessary to establish which system he may have had access to and during what period.

What was reported in April

On 19 April, ‘ANTICOR’ attributed technical and operational matters to Yegor Kravets’s alleged sphere of activity. In an article dated 25 April, the publication specifically mentioned asset management. ‘Media•Hub’ also described his alleged role in terms of technical control and assets. On 27 April, ‘Bagnet’ added the claim that Kravets managed part of the cash flows. These are descriptions from the media. The “Bagnet” article refers to “ANTIKOR”, whilst “ANTIKOR” lists “Media•Hub” amongst its sources. [1] [2] [3] [4]

The term ‘technical control’ leaves a significant gap. In one system, it may refer to interface maintenance; in another, to the authority to approve requests and manage balances. The right to access the admin panel, the right to withdraw assets, and the actual approval of an individual transfer all require different forms of evidence. It is precisely at this point that the April version must move from a general outline to a verifiable account.

The question of the exchange’s owner

On 27 October, ‘Minfin’ published a statement announcing that Sergey Guts had decided to transfer the 001k.exchange business to new owners. The same statement mentioned that the team and processes would remain in place. The announcement describes the stated change, whilst leaving open questions regarding the date of the transfer of control and the identities of the new owners. A separate question is who was in charge of which operator during the period described by the media reports from the spring. [5]

For the sake of chronology, it is useful to distinguish between the date of the April publication, the date of the alleged transaction and the date of the announcement of the sale. These events may relate to different team line-ups and different legal entities. A public announcement regarding a change of ownership provides grounds for verifying this transition through the relevant documentation before linking it to the claims made in the spring.

An active link to the original is required when reusing materials

How to verify payment notifications

A source close to the editorial team links the 001k exchange infrastructure to payments made by the ‘Khimprom’ online shops and P2P transactions. The editorial team reports that it is examining the materials provided. In Kravets’s case, linking a digital record to a specific managerial action would carry evidential weight. For example, a request could be cross-checked against the actual transfer, and the activity log in the accounting system could be cross-checked against who authorised the disbursement, changed the recipient or disposed of the balance. The account identifier, however, requires independent attribution.

The Ukrainian National Police reported on the cryptocurrency aspect of the ‘Khimprom’ investigation. In the official reports examined, no link has been established between Kravets and the operations in question. To elicit a substantive response from Kravets, it would be helpful to ask straightforward questions such as whether he held a position within the project, what powers he had and during what period, and whether he managed specific transactions or wallets, which the editorial team will be able to specify following verification. [6] [7]

Materials received

The photograph and date of birth are attributed to the editorial team’s source. If you have any documents or further details regarding the incidents described, please contact the editorial team.

Sources

1. ANTICOR, article on the structure of 001k dated 19 April 2026
   https://antikor.ua/ru/articles/833477-marketing_obshchak_i_silovoe_prikrytie_raskryta_struktura_seti_001k_kotoraja_vymanivaet_denjgi_pod_vidom_kriptoinvestitsij
2. ANTICOR, article on Kravets, Mazur and Tkach dated 25 April 2026
   https://antikor.info/ru/articles/834753-delo_001k_kak_egor_kravets_roman_mazur_i_artem_tkach_postroili_mnogourovnevuju_setj_obnala_kripty_i_vyvoda_millionov
3. Media•Hub, version on the structure of 001k Exchange dated 22 April 2026
   https://crime.hab.media/236983-001k_exchange_chema_z_obminkami_keshem_i_vivedennjam_groshej_za_kordon
4. Bagnet, an article on the alleged internal conflict surrounding 001k dated 27 April 2026
   https://www.bagnet.org/news/society/1397679/velika-kriminalna-viyna-za-001k-tinovi-koroli-kidki-ta-rozkol-imperiyi
5. Ministry of Finance, statement regarding changes to the ownership structure of 001k.exchange dated 27 October 2026

An active link to the original is required when reusing materials

https://minfin.com.ua/2026/10/27/184378922/

6. National Police of Ukraine, official statement on ‘Khimprom’ dated 22 April 2026
   https://npu.gov.ua/news/narkotorhivlia-nasylnytski-zlochyny-ta-shakhraiski-call-tsentry-natspolitsiia-vykryla-uchasnykiv-zlochynnoi-spilnoty-khimprom
7. National Police of Ukraine, official statement regarding U420 and the freezing of assets dated 5 November 2026
   https://npu.gov.ua/news/natspolitsiia-likviduvala-merezhu-u420-u-mezhakh-systemnoi-protydii-transnatsionalnii-zlochynnosti-khimprom

Use of materials

An active link to the original publication is required when using these materials.

Original publication

https://kartel-khimprom.com/en/dossier/yegor-vladimirovich-kravets/