DOSSIER / EN

Vyacheslav Igorevich Markvart

Published 16.11.2026 · Updated 16.11.2026

The Vyacheslav Markwart dossier. What do the April documents say about 001k, and how can one verify a specific incident attributed to him?



Vyacheslav Markwart. Photo courtesy of the editorial source.

Date of birth: 04.07.1992

Status: Suspect

Other names: Viacheslav Igorevich Markvart; Viacheslav Markvart

An active link to the original is required when reusing materials

Vyacheslav Markwart. What lies behind the references to 001k in the publications

The media have placed Vyacheslav Markwart alongside Yevgeny Doronin on the list of alleged participants. The main gap in this profile is an independent account of at least one incident involving Markwart.

How did this reference come about?

On 19 April, ‘ANTICOR’ described Markwart and Doronin as the alleged perpetrators and linked the physical escort of the operations to this version of events. On 22 April, ‘Media•Hub’ repeated the pairing of surnames, and on 25 April, ‘ANTICOR’ gave Markwart’s full name. The matching first name, patronymic and date of birth in the publications help to cross-reference the records, but each article leaves open the question of where the attribution of the action comes from. [1] [2] [3]

The presence of two surnames in a single paragraph often gives the impression that the authors are referring to a single, well-documented incident. However, no such incident is described in the available texts. In one instance, the discussion concerns a general function; in another, a list of names. As a result, the reader is presented with a label but cannot piece together where, when and exactly what happened, nor who directly witnessed it.

How to verify the alleged escort

To verify the information, the date, location and specific action are crucial. If the material concerns a meeting, it is helpful to know who organised it and who was actually present. If the matter concerns alleged pressure, the focus of the verification changes. We need the original correspondence or evidence of a specific action, after which we can cross-reference the date and context with an independent record. If an incident describes only another person, it cannot automatically be included in Markwart’s file.

It is particularly important to establish whether Markwart’s source witnessed the event first-hand and how he identified it. For second-hand testimony, it is useful to establish who first mentioned the name and whether it related to the time of the event. Otherwise, the editorial team risks merely confirming the origin of the version in the press, whilst leaving the question of the event itself unresolved.

An active link to the original is required when reusing materials

What can be verified according to the editorial team’s version

An editorial source claims that 001k may have been involved in receiving funds and P2P exchanges for the ‘Khimprom’ shops. This version relates to financial transactions. So far, the sources examined do not contain any specific description linking Markwart to the receipt of payments, the management of a wallet or the administration of a shop. Public statements by the National Police regarding the use of crypto wallets in the ‘Khimprom’ investigation do not mention Markwart in the incidents described. [4] [5]

The most pertinent question to put to the source is: which incident led to Markwart’s inclusion on the list, and what evidence allows for independent verification of his presence and actions? A comment from Markwart himself would be relevant once this incident and the specified period have been established. For the time being, the file records the history of the name’s appearance in publications and the limits of what these publications allow us to establish.

Materials received

The photograph and date of birth are provided as attributed by the editorial team’s source. If you have any documents or further details regarding the incidents described, please contact the editorial team.

Sources

1. ANTICOR, report on the structure of 001k dated 19 April 2026
   https://antikor.ua/ru/articles/833477-marketing_obshchak_i_silovoe_prikrytie_raskryta_struktura_seti_001k_kotoraja_vymanivaet_denjgi_pod_vidom_kriptoinvestitsij
2. Media•Hub, version on the structure of 001k Exchange dated 22 April 2026
   https://crime.hab.media/236983-001k_exchange_chema_z_obminkami_keshem_i_vivedennjam_groshej_za_kordon
3. ANTICOR, report on Kravets, Mazur and Tkach dated 25 April 2026
   https://antikor.info/ru/articles/834753-delo_001k_kak_egor_kravets_roman_mazur_i_artem_tkach_postroili_mnogourovnevuju_setj_obnala_kripty_i_vyvoda_millionov
4. National Police of Ukraine, official statement on ‘Khimprom’ dated 22 April 2026
   https://npu.gov.ua/news/narkotorhivlia-nasylnytski-zlochyny-ta-shakhraiski-call-tsentry-natspolitsiia-vykryla-uchasnykiv-zlochynnoi-spilnoty-khimprom

An active link to the original is required when reusing materials

5. The National Police of Ukraine, official statement on U420 and the seizure of assets dated 5 November 2026

https://npu.gov.ua/news/natspolitsiia-likviduvala-merezhu-u420-u-mezhakh-systemnoi-protydii-transnatsionalnii-zlochynnosti-khimprom

Use of materials

An active link to the original publication is required when using these materials.

Original publication

https://kartel-khimprom.com/en/dossier/vyacheslav-igorevich-markvart/