DOSSIER / EN

Konstantin Igorevich Vakhnyuk

Published 16.11.2026 · Updated 16.11.2026

Editorial note on Konstantin Vakhnyuk. What the source revealed and what the fact-check of publications about 001k showed.



Konstantin Vakhnyuk. Photo courtesy of the editorial source.

Date of birth: 26.07.1994

Status: Suspect

Other names: Kostiantyn Ihorovych Vakhniuk; Kostiantyn Vakhniuk

An active link to the original is required when reusing materials

Konstantin Vakhnyuk. What information regarding his links to 001k can currently be verified

Konstantin Vakhnyuk appeared in the material provided by an editorial source. His name was not found in the series on 001k that we reviewed. Therefore, this profile begins with the origin of the information and a question regarding a specific incident.

What the source reported

The editorial archive contains a photograph captioned with Vakhnyuk’s name and a date of birth indicated in the file name. In the editorial materials, the name is recorded as ‘Kostyantyn’. The form ‘Konstantin’ has been used for the Russian-language profile. The full name and date help to search for an exact match in publicly available material, but do not in themselves establish the role in which the source included the individual in the collection.

The information provided, which was available for review for this profile, does not contain a dated account of Vakhnyuk’s actions. The editorial team separately notes that it is processing material regarding the alleged link between 001k and ‘Khimprom’. Given this sequence of events, it is first necessary to ascertain in which document and in what context his name appeared, and then to cross-check this with the source that emerged independently of the submitted compilation.

What the cross-check of the publications revealed

The available texts from ‘ANTIKORA’, ‘Media•Hub’ and ‘Bagneta’ contain names and nicknames which the editorial teams of these publications have linked to 001k. Vakhnyuk is not mentioned by name in the materials reviewed. The pseudonyms used in these texts do not provide grounds for identifying any of the people mentioned as him. Attributing the identity based on a small number of matching biographical details would carry the risk of mistaking one person for another. [1] [2] [3]

What information would change the profile?

At this stage, a single dated instance would serve as a substantive lead, where Vakhnyuk is named in the original post and his role is described as a specific action. If the source turns out to be correspondence, the context of the conversation and verification of the account’s ownership will be required. If it concerns a payment, we need to establish whether he is listed as the payer, the operator, the recipient, or is merely mentioned by a third party. These roles may all feature in a

An active link to the original is required when reusing materials

single transaction and indicate varying degrees of involvement.

The editorial source's general assertion concerns the possible management of online shops and the handling of revenue. It does not contain any verifiable personal link to Vakhnyuk. His name also does not appear in the official reports on the 'Khimprom' investigation reviewed for this series. Until a specific episode is published, this entry remains an internal editorial note on the origin of the information and the results of the search. [4] [5]

When new material emerges, it is useful to begin by asking in what capacity Vakhnyuk is mentioned in the original entry. This will help determine the scope of the verification and prepare a specific request for comment. Whilst the original set of documents provides the editorial team with a name for verification and a photograph, the link to the operation remains an open question.

Materials received

The photograph and date of birth are based on information provided by the editorial team's source. If you have any documents or further details regarding the events described, please contact the editorial team.

Sources

1. ANTICOR, article on Kravets, Mazur and Tkach dated 25 April 2026
   https://antikor.info/ru/articles/834753-delo_001k_kak_egor_kravets_roman_mazur_i_artem_tkach_postroili_mnogourovnevuju_setj_obnala_kripty_i_vyvoda_millionov

2. Media•Hub, version on the structure of 001k Exchange dated 22 April 2026
   https://crime.hab.media/236983-001k_exchange_chema_z_obminkami_keshem_i_vivedennjam_groshej_za_kordon

3. Bagnet, an article on the alleged internal conflict surrounding 001k dated 27 April 2026
   https://www.bagnet.org/news/society/1397679/velika-kriminalna-viyna-za-001k-tinovi-koroli-kidki-ta-rozkol-imperiyi

4. National Police of Ukraine, official statement on 'Khimprom' dated 22 April 2026
   https://npu.gov.ua/news/narkotorhivlia-nasylnytski-zlochyny-ta-shakhraiski-call-tsentry-natspolitsiia-vykryla-uchasnykiv-zlochynnoi-spilnoty-khimprom

5. The National Police of Ukraine, official statement regarding U420 and the seizure of assets dated 5 November 2026
   https://npu.gov.ua/news/natspolitsiia-likviduvala-merezhu-u420-u-mezhakh-systemnoi-protydii-transnatsionalnii-zlochynnosti-khimprom

An active link to the original is required when reusing materials

Use of materials

An active link to the original publication is required when using these materials.

Original publication

https://kartel-khimprom.com/en/dossier/konstantin-igorevich-vakhnyuk/