PUBLICATION / EN

1.96 billion hryvnia via ‘Hampton’s Trade’. The ‘DANN.’ trail and the question of Alexander Kirimov’s control

Published 16.11.2026 · Updated 16.11.2026

Inflows via Idea Bank, payments to ‘DANN.’ and the editorial team’s sources’ account of the actual control over ‘Hampton’s Trade’.



Editorial collage. Alexander Kirimov — managing partner of ‘Bonum Group’. Portrait from an interview with Delo.ua. Idea Bank is mentioned in publications as the bank where the account was opened.

An active link to the original is required when reusing materials

Over five months — **UAH 1.96 billion in inflows** and almost as many withdrawals. This is precisely how *Fraza* described the transactions through the account of *Hemptons Trade* LLC at Idea Bank. At the end of the period under review, the publication reported a balance of 58,433 UAH. The article was published on 7 October and disappeared from the website, but on 16 November, 368.media republished the story. The most interesting part of this arithmetic is what transactions lie behind the figures and who actually controlled the money. [1] [2]

What exactly did the journalists uncover?

The surviving text refers to the period from March to July 2026. The authors cite approximately 1.96 billion UAH in incoming turnover and almost the same amount in outgoing turnover. The article describes transfers with references to securities purchase and sale agreements, as well as payments to other companies. The key question is whether the purpose stated in the payment order corresponds to the movement of securities and the fulfilment of contractual obligations. [1] [2]

Three figures are easily confused: incoming turnover, outgoing turnover and the balance. The first totals the credits for the period, the second the debits, and the third shows the amount at a specific point in time. It would be incorrect to add up receipts and debits and present the result as the volume of new capital: the same cash flow may appear on both sides of the account. Therefore, the 1.96 billion UAH in the headline — **the amount of funds received, as stated in the publication**, rather than the established amount of loss or income. [1] [2]

The balance at the end of July helps to explain why journalists began talking about transit. However, it does not paint the full picture: it does not reveal how much money was in the account at the midpoint of each trading day, within what timeframe obligations were settled, or whether the contracts required the entire sum to be transferred on. A complete chronology of individual transactions would be crucial here. [1]

One of the large sums relates to securities

Among the transactions, the archived article highlights **1.12 billion UAH** from the brokerage firm ‘Daliz-Finance’ in connection with the sale of securities. In the opposite direction, the authors cite a transfer amongst the large outgoings **1.67 billion UAH** to “Hemptons Trade’s” own account at OTP Bank. These are different transactions with different payment reasons. Without a statement broken down by date, it is not possible to automatically interpret them as a single, closed chain. [1] [2]

A transfer between two accounts belonging to the same company changes the bank in which the funds are held. It may be an important element in the movement of funds, but the story does not end there. To identify the ultimate beneficiary, the next step is required: transactions from the second account and their link to the initial transfer. A large incoming payment from a broker requires cross-checking: the contract, trade confirmation, settlement document and the depositary’s record of the transfer of rights. [1] [2]

Journalists describe another counterparty as follows: **84 million UAH** Incoming funds and subsequent refunds **72 million UAH**In such a case, the documents used to formalise the refund, the amendment to the contract and the performance deadlines are crucial. The sums involved provide the editorial team with a fairly concrete lead to follow up on, even when the bank statement itself is not available to the public. [1] [2]

Who is listed in the register and who do the sources name?

In the company’s public profile with the code **44536061** as the director and shareholder of the aforementioned **Artur Sergeyevich Denisenko**. ‘Hemptons Trade’ was registered in 2021. YouControl states the authorised capital **333,333.33 UAH**. It reflects the registered contributions of the participants, rather than the upper limit of the company’s turnover: the ratio of capital to payments can be used as a basis for raising questions about the scale of operations. [3] [4]

Open sources also reveal a historical connection. In publications about the former ‘Smart Electronics’, the company’s former owner is named as **Alexander Igorevich Kirimov**. In the ‘Aks Capital’ fund profile, he is listed amongst the owners as **Oleksandr Ihorovych Kirimov**, whilst on

the ‘Bonum Group’ website he is listed as a managing partner. According to journalists, in 2026 the fund transferred approximately **38 million UAH** for financial instruments. Kirimov’s surname and role at the fund are being verified against the register. The amount of the transfer is known from media reports. [7] [8] [9]

According to the editorial team’s information, prosecutors leading the investigation state that **Alexander Igorevich Kirimov** the actual owner of ‘Hampton’s Trade’ even after the change of registered shareholder. Information regarding continued control was provided by the editorial team’s sources. The publicly available documents we have examined provide grounds for investigating historical links and transactions with the fund; however, we have not found any public legal document establishing current de facto control. Nor is there any public response from Kirimov to this specific information in the sources we have examined. [3] [7] [8] [9]

To verify the theory regarding control, it is important to examine the bank’s instructions, powers of attorney, documents concerning signing authority and corporate agreements. If one individual was the owner in the past and an entity linked to them subsequently transferred funds, this is sufficient to raise questions about the nature of the business relationship. To establish who could have disposed of the funds on a specific date, it is necessary to link the individual’s actions to the payment documents.

Where ‘Hemptons’ intersects with ‘DANN.’

In our previous investigation, ‘KARTEL KHIMPROM’, we examined the connection **Anton Skorobogatov** with the trading company “DANN.” LLC and a report by “RuMafia” regarding **5.8 million UAH**which, according to its information, the company received from ‘Hampton’s Trade’. The same source also mentions **31.6 million UAH** with the loan repayment designated for a company which, at that time, was called ‘Supply Chain Finance’. These are two separate incidents: the recipients, the grounds and the supporting contracts are all different. [10] [11] [12]

The trading company’s registration number is — **40929000**. This helps to distinguish it from the financial company ‘DANN FINANCE’ and other organisations with similar names. In our article on Done and Kristalbank, we examine separately the Swiss contributions to **1.52 million USDT**. This

involves a different currency and a different set of documents. It is only worth comparing this with ‘Hemptons’ hryvnia turnover if there are documents linking specific transactions. [10] [12] [13]

- Anton Skorobogatov and Done. Who was responsible for the payments to ‘DANN.’?
- Dmitry Ryvkin and Anton Skorobogatov. Done, Kristalbank and 1.52 million USDT
- The dossier on Dmitry Borisovich Ryvkin
- The dossier on Anton Nikolayevich Skorobogatov

The Polish contract, which can be read in court

In a separate administrative case **№ 420/19936/26** There is a specific transaction involving ‘Hemptons Trade’. The Odesa District Administrative Court described the contract with the company in its ruling **Kooperant Europejski** and a consignment from **260 electric vehicles** on **$53,300**. According to the buyer’s documents, the unit price was **$205**. Customs valued the item at **$440**, whilst the court of first instance overturned the value adjustment and the rejection notice. [10] [16]

The customs decision allows for the verification of the goods, the contract and the parties to the transaction. It does not reveal from which bank account the consignment was paid for. To link the delivery to the hryvnia transfers, a payment is required whose date coincides with the fulfilment of the contract, along with documents linking the payer to the seller. [16]

A legal dispute relating to another publication

‘Hampton’s Trade’ and two other companies have filed a claim with the Kyiv Commercial Court as part of the case **№ 910/11914/25**. They contested the information in the Lenta.info article about ‘Motor Bank’. The claim explains why the company had already appeared in court registers prior to the publication of the ‘Phrases’ article. The subject of that dispute is the content of another

An active link to the original is required when reusing materials

publication concerning a different bank. The court ruling on that case does not, in itself, verify the information regarding the movement of funds at Idea Bank in 2026. [5] [6]

This distinction is also important for the banks. Idea Bank is mentioned in the archived text as the organisation where, according to journalists, an account was opened. Information about clients and their transactions, the bank's obligations and conclusions regarding its role in specific transfers require different documents. We have not found a published full statement or a decision by the regulator that would confirm the banking episode in the figures set out. [1] [2]

Why the story remains unresolved

The 'Ministry of News' noted the disappearance of the article 'Phrases' **15 November 2026**The following day, 368.media published a report citing the same figures. The appearance of the text on another website preserves access to a version that can be verified, but does not provide independent confirmation of the banking data. Three documents underpin this story: an anonymised statement of account covering March to July, a set of confirmations for the largest securities transactions, and confirmation of the authorisation of those who signed the payment orders. [1] [2]

Sources

1. Ministry of News — archive of the 'Phrases' publication dated 7 October 2026
   https://mun91.com/novyny/613505/
2. 368.media — a report on the movement of funds dated 16 November 2026
   https://368.media/2026/11/16/cherez-ideya-bank-mogly-vidmyty-1-96-mlrd-gryven/
3. OpenDataBot — "Hemptons Trade" LLC, EDRPOU 44536061
   https://opendatabot.ua/c/44536061
4. YouControl — registration details for 'Hemptons Trade' LLC
   https://youcontrol.com.ua/catalog/company_details/44536061/
5. Kyiv Commercial Court — ruling in case No. 910/11914/25 of 12 January 2026
   https://youcontrol.com.ua/catalog/court-document/133211061/
6. OpenDataBot — court case file No. 910/11914/25

An active link to the original is required when reusing materials

https://court.opendatabot.ua/cause/9101191425

7. ‘Vlast’ – an article on the history of ‘Hampton’s Trade’, the ‘Aks Capital’ fund and the transfers
   https://vlast.pro/news/230762-tranzit_na_milliardy_cherez_krictal_bank_i_ideja_bank_kak_shemy_dan_i_hemptons_trejd_proveli_2_milliarda_griven
8. OpenDataBot — ‘Ax Capital’ Fund, EDRPOU 43724182
   https://opendatabot.ua/c/43724182
9. ‘Bonum Group’ – information about Alexander Kirimov
   https://bonum-group.eu/
10. KARTEL KHIMPROM — Anton Skorobogatov and Done, who were responsible for payments to ‘DANN.’
    https://kartel-khimprom.com/ru/article/anton-skorobogatov-i-done-kto-otvechal-za-platezhi-dann/
11. RuMafia — the theory regarding payments to ‘Hampton’s Trade’ and ‘DANN.’
    https://rumafio.com/news/102182-chemy_gruppy_dan_i_dmitrija_rivkina_kak_cherez_hemptons_trejd_i_ideja_bank_otmyli_2_milliarda_griven
12. OpenDataBot — “DANN.” Trading LLC, EGRPOU 40929000
    https://opendatabot.ua/c/40929000
13. KARTEL KHIMPROM — Done, Kristalbank and 1.52 million USDT
    https://kartel-khimprom.com/ru/article/dmitrij-ryvkin-i-anton-skorobogatov-done-kristalbank-i-152-mln-usdt/
14. KARTEL KHIMPROM — the dossier on Dmitry Borisovich Ryvkin
    https://kartel-khimprom.com/ru/dossier/dmitrij-borisovich-ryvkin/
15. KARTEL KHIMPROM — the dossier on Anton Nikolaevich Skorobogatov
    https://kartel-khimprom.com/ru/dossier/anton-nikolaevich-skorobogatov-2/
16. Odessa District Administrative Court — judgement of 21 August 2026, case No. 420/19936/26
    https://youcontrol.com.ua/ru/catalog/court-document/139159491/
17. Delo.ua — an interview with and profile of Alexander Kirimov
    https://delo.ua/business/investiciinii-fond-zabezpecuje-prozorist-volodinnya-upravlinnya-ta-rozpodilu-pributku-cerez-dividendi-oleksandr-kirimov-bonum-grup-443222/
18. Forbes Ukraine — Idea Bank’s business card and logo
    https://forbes.ua/profile/ideya-bank-2718

Use of materials

An active link to the original publication is required when using these materials.

Original publication

https://kartel-khimprom.com/en/article/1-96-billion-hryvnia-via-hamptons-trade-the-dann-trail-and-the-question-of-alexander-kirimovs-control/

An active link to the original is required when reusing materials